

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

CRAIG CARPENITO
United States Attorney
EAMONN O'HAGAN
Assistant U.S. Attorney
970 Broad Street, Suite 700
Newark, NJ 07102-2535
Tel: (973) 645-2874

Attorneys for the United States of America

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

In re

JOHN O. POINDEXTER, III,

Debtor.

Chapter 13

Case No. 17-35746-ABA

Judge: Andrew B. Altenburg, Jr.

Hearing Date: February 28, 2018

Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.

**OBJECTION OF INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE TO CONFIRMATION
OF DEBTOR'S CHAPTER 13 PLAN**

**ATTENTION DEBTOR'S COUNSEL:
FOR THE REASONS STATED HEREIN, THE PROPOSED CHAPTER 13 PLAN
IS FACIALLY UNCONFIRMABLE. TO THE EXTENT NO WRITTEN
RESPONSE TO THIS OBJECTION IS FILED AND NO ADJOURNMENT IS
OBTAINED, COUNSEL FOR THE UNITED STATES WILL NOT BE
APPEARING AT THE SCHEDULED HEARING ON THIS MATTER AND WILL
INSTEAD RELY ON THIS WRITTEN OBJECTION.**

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, on behalf of the Internal Revenue Service (the "Service"), submits the following objection to confirmation of the above-captioned debtor's Chapter 13 Plan (the "Plan") [ECF Doc No. 24]. In support thereof, the Service respectfully represents as follows:

RELEVANT BACKGROUND

1. John O. Poindexter, III (the “Debtor”) filed a voluntary petition under chapter 13 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) on December 26, 2017 (the “Petition Date”) [ECF Doc. No. 1].

2. The Debtor has unpaid federal income tax liabilities for numerous tax years. *See* Claim No. 9. The Debtor has also failed to file Form 1040 federal income tax returns for tax years 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016. *Id.* Prior to the Petition Date, the Service filed a Notices of Federal Tax Liens (the “Tax Liens”) against the Debtor. *Id.* Pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 6321, the Tax Liens encumber all of the Debtor’s “property and rights to property, whether real or personal.”

3. The Service filed a proof of claim against the Debtor in the amount of \$232,892.28 (the “Service Claim”), comprised of: (i) a secured claim under 11 U.S.C. § 506(a), in the amount of \$16,850.00 (the “Secured Claim”), which amount is secured by the Tax Liens on Debtor’s scheduled *personal* property¹; (ii) an unsecured priority claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8) in the amount of \$16,206.20² (the “Priority Claim”); and (iii) an unsecured non-priority claim of \$199,836.08 (the “Unsecured Claim”). *See* Claim No. 9.

4. For the reasons described below, the Plan is not confirmable.

¹ *See* Debtor’s Scheduled A/B: Property [ECF Doc. No. 28].

² This amount is estimated because, as noted above, the Debtor has failed to file tax returns for periods entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code section 507(a)(8).

OBJECTION

A. The Plan is Not Confirmable with Respect to the Service's Secured Claim

5. With respect to the Secured Claim, the Plan fails to comply with the provisions of Bankruptcy Code section 1325(a)(5), which requires, *inter alia*, that a Chapter 13 Plan provide for the retention of the secured creditor's liens and full payment, plus post-confirmation interest. Contrary to section 1325(a)(5)'s requirements, the Plan provides for no payment of the Secured Claim. *See* Plan at 4-7. This deficiency renders the Plan unconfirmable.

6. Although the Debtor seeks to avoid the Tax Liens as impairing his exemptions under Bankruptcy Code section 522(f), such relief is not available against the Service. *See* Plan at 7. Indeed, *as expressly stated in section 522 itself*, property claimed as exempt by the Debtor under Bankruptcy Code section 522 does not impact the allowed amount of the Secured Claim. 11 U.S.C. § 522(c)(2)(B) (mandating that “property exempted under this section is not liable during or after the case for any debt of the debtor . . . *except . . . a debt secured by a lien that is . . . a tax lien, notice of which was properly filed.*” (emphasis added)); *Paratore v. IRS (In re Paratore)*, 1995 Bankr. LEXIS 508, at *9 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1995) (“It is clear from § 522(c)(2)(B), that Congress did not intend that any bankruptcy debtor could exempt any property from a perfected tax lien.”); *see also Downey v. Georgia (In re Downey)*, 2006 Bankr. LEXIS 2737, at *4 (Bankr. N.D. Ga. Sept. 27, 2006) (“The Debtors’

estate has an interest in the motor vehicles and personal property, and the Debtor cannot exempt those assets from a tax lien, notice of which is properly filed . . . If the tax lien was properly filed, then, the State has a secured claim to the extent of the value of the Debtors' assets . . . The Debtors' chapter 13 plan must provide for payment of the value of this claim or surrender the assets to the State." (internal quotation marks omitted)). Thus, the Debtor's attempt to avoid the Tax Liens under section 522(f) is impermissible.

7. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 506(a), the Secured Claim must be calculated based on the value of the Service's interest in estate property. 11 U.S.C. § 506(a). As noted above, the Secured Claim encumbers all of the Debtor's real and personal property. 26 U.S.C. § 6321. According to the Debtor's own schedules, he has equity in personal property to which the Tax Liens apply. *See* Debtors' Schedule A/B, Property [ECF Doc. No. 28]. The Plan's failure to pay the Secured Claim to the full extent of the Debtor's equity in that property renders the Plan unconfirmable under section 1325(a)(5).

8. In addition to failing to provide for full payment, the Plan is not confirmable with respect to the Secured Claim because:

- a. It fails to provide that the Service will retain its liens against the property of the Debtor. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(5)(B)(i)(I).
- b. It fails to calculate (and provide for payment of) post-confirmation interest on the Secured Claim. *Id.* § 1325(a)(5)(B)(ii); *In re Princeton Office Park, L.P.*, 423 B.R. 795, 806 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2010) (recognizing

that section 1325(a)(5)(B)(ii) requires payment of interest on secured claims).

- i. The interest rate established by 26 U.S.C. § 6621 and the regulations thereunder (4%) applies to deferred payments of federal taxes. 11 U.S.C. § 511(a).

B. The Plan is Not Confirmable with Respect to the Service's Priority Claim

9. With respect to claims entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code section 507(a)(8), a chapter 13 plan must “provide for the full repayment, in deferred cash payments . . . unless the holder of a particular claim agrees to a different treatment of such claim.” 11 U.S.C. § 1322(a)(2). Because the Plan provides for less than full payment of the Priority Claim, it cannot be confirmed under Bankruptcy Code section 1322(a)(2). *See* Plan at 3 (providing for payment of only \$7,050.00 towards Priority Claim).

C. The Plan is Generally Unconfirmable Based on Debtor's Failure to File Tax Returns

10. As noted above and in the Service Claim, the Debtor failed to file multiple federal income tax returns that were due before the Petition Date. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 1308(a), the Debtor was required to file all tax returns due within four years of the Petition Date. 11 U.S.C. § 1308(a). The Debtor has failed to comply with Bankruptcy Code section 1308 by filing the required returns. This failure renders the Plan unconfirmable under Bankruptcy

Code section 1325(a)(9), which requires, as a prerequisite to confirmation, compliance with section 1308. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(9).

11. The Debtor's failure to file returns due outside the time period covered by section 1308 also renders the Plan unconfirmable under Bankruptcy Code section 1325(a)(3). That section requires that a plan be "proposed in good faith and not by means forbidden by law." 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(3). The Debtor's failure to file *all returns* necessary for the Service to calculate its claim precludes the Debtor from meeting this requirement. *In re Weik*, 526 B.R. 829, 835 (Bankr. D. Mont. 2015) ("Debtor's attempt to repay some obligations by means of a chapter 13 plan without having filed *all required tax* returns is contrary to § 1325(a)(3)'s requirement that the plan be proposed 'not by any means prohibited by law.'" (emphasis added)).

12. Thus, until all of the delinquent returns are filed, the Plan cannot be confirmed. To the extent the Debtor fails to promptly cure his failure to file the required returns, the Service intends to file a motion to dismiss this case under 11 U.S.C. § 1308(e).

D. The Plan is Not Confirmable with Respect to the Service's Unsecured Claim

13. With respect to the Unsecured Claim, the Plan is not confirmable because, contrary to Bankruptcy Code section 1325(b), it does not provide for full payment of general unsecured claims nor does it not provide that all of the Debtor's disposable income will be used to satisfy general unsecured claims. 11 U.S.C. § 1325(b)(1). Indeed, the Plan provides for payments of only \$275.00 per month even

though the Debtor's schedules disclose net income, after expenses, of \$439.00 per month. *See* Plan a 2; Debtor's Schedule J [ECF Doc. No. 22-9].

14. The Plan is also not confirmable as to the Unsecured Claim because the Debtor has failed to meet his burden under Bankruptcy Code section 1325(a)(4). That section requires the Debtor to demonstrate that the value to be distributed "on account of each unsecured claim is not less than the amount that would be paid on such claim if the estate of the debtor were liquidated under chapter 7 of this title on such date." 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(4). Here, the Plan neither estimates recoveries for unsecured creditors under the Plan nor does it contain any information regarding comparable recoveries in a chapter 7 case. Plan at 6.

15. Unless and until the Debtor carries his burden under section 1325(a)(4), the Plan cannot be confirmed. *In re Williams*, 354 B.R. 604, 608-10 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 2006) ("In connection with confirmation of a chapter 13 plan, the Debtor has the burden of proof to establish all requisites for confirmation, including the requirement set forth in Code § 1325(a)(4) . . . [C]onfirmation of the Debtor's Amended Plan is denied based on his failure to establish that it complies with Code § 1325(a)(4)."); *In re Hutchinson*, 354 B.R. 523, 531 (Bankr. D. Kan. 2006) (explaining that section 1324(a)(4) "requires that the Chapter 13 plan provide distributions to each allowed unsecured creditor that are not less than what the unsecured creditor would have received if the debtor's estate were liquidated under a Chapter 7 proceeding . . . Debtors bear the burden of proving that they have met

all of the requirements of § 1325, including the ‘best interest of the creditors’ test under § 1325(a)(4). The Court finds that Debtors have failed to meet this burden.”).

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Service requests that the Court (i) deny confirmation of the Plan until such time as the above-referenced defects in the Plan are cured; and (ii) grant any other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 16, 2018

CRAIG CARPENITO
United States Attorney

/s/ Eamonn O'Hagan
EAMONN O'HAGAN
Assistant U.S. Attorney

*Attorneys for the United States of
America*